



Stochastic Filtering (SS2016) Exercise Sheet 12

Lecture and Exercises: JProf. Dr. Philipp Harms
Due date: July 20, 2016

Sequential tests

The following exercises are a guided tour of sequential tests—one of the oldest and most important application of filtering and optimal control theory. For a detailed treatment we refer to [1, Chapter VI.21].

We work on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}_{\pi_0})$ satisfying the usual conditions. The hidden state is a Bernoulli random variable $X \sim \text{Ber}(\pi_0)$ for some $\pi_0 \in [0, 1]$. The observation process $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is given by $Y_t = \mu t X + \sigma B_t$, where $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a standard (\mathcal{F}_t) -Wiener process independent of X and $\mu \neq 0, \sigma > 0$ are given constants.

A sequential test for the hypothesis $X = 0$ versus $X = 1$ consists of an $\mathbb{F}(Y)$ -stopping time T and an \mathcal{F}_T^Y -measurable random variable \hat{X} . The interpretation is that after stopping at time T , the random variable \hat{X} indicates which hypothesis should be accepted under the test. The objective is to minimize the stopping time and the probabilities of type-I and type-II errors. More precisely, for given constants $a, b > 0$, one looks for minimizers (T^*, \hat{X}^*) of

$$V(\pi_0) = \inf_{(T, \hat{X})} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_0} \left[T + a 1_{\{X=1, \hat{X}=0\}} + b 1_{\{X=0, \hat{X}=1\}} \right]. \quad (1)$$

12.1. Deriving the filtering equation

Let $(\pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the (\mathcal{F}_t^Y) -optional projection of X .



a) Show that $(\pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$d\pi_t = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \pi_t (1 - \pi_t) (dY_t - \mu \pi_t dt), \quad \pi_0 = \pi_0. \quad (2)$$

Note: $(\pi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ takes values in $[0, 1]$. Of course, the interval $[0, 1]$ can be identified with the set of probability measures on $\{0, 1\}$.

b) Show that $f(\pi_t) - f(\pi_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{A}f(\pi_s) ds$ is an $(\mathbb{F}(Y), \mathbb{P})$ -martingale for each $f \in C^2([0, 1])$, where

$$\mathcal{A}f(\pi) = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \pi^2 (1 - \pi)^2 \frac{\partial^2 f(\pi)}{\partial \pi^2}.$$

12.2. Reduction to an optimal stopping problem

Show that

$$V(\pi_0) = \inf_T \mathbb{E}_{\pi_0}[T + g(\pi_T)], \quad \text{where } g(\pi) = \min\{a\pi, b(1 - \pi)\}. \quad (3)$$

Hint: For any fixed stopping time T , $\hat{X}^* = \mathbb{1}_{\{a\pi_T \geq b(1 - \pi_T)\}}$ is optimal in (1).

Dynamic programming formulation

The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation associated to the stopping problem (3) is

$$\min\{\mathcal{A}W(\pi) + 1, g(\pi) - W(\pi)\} = 0, \quad \forall \pi \in [0, 1].$$

The relation to the stopping problem will become clear in the following steps.

It can be shown using ODE methods that this equation has a unique¹ solution $W : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The function W is C^1 and piecewise C^2 . Moreover, despite the possible

¹The solution is unique in the viscosity sense.



singularities of W , Itô's formula in its standard form can be applied to the process $W(\pi_t)$. The function W has the following structure: there exist constants A, B satisfying $0 < A < B < 1$ such that $0 = \mathcal{A}W + 1$ holds on the interval (A, B) , and $0 = g - W$ holds on the interval $[0, A] \cup [B, 1]$.

12.3. The function W is a lower bound for V

Show that $V(\pi_0) \geq W(\pi_0)$ holds for all $\pi_0 \in [0, 1]$.

Hint. Show for any $\mathbb{F}(Y)$ -stopping time T that

$$\mathbb{E}[T + g(\pi_T)] \geq \mathbb{E}[T + W(\pi_T)] \geq W(\pi_0). \quad (4)$$

The first inequality follows directly from the HJB equation. To see the second inequality, use Itô's formula to express $W(\pi_T)$ as $W(\pi_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{A}W(\pi_s) ds + M_T$, where M is an (\mathcal{F}_t^Y) -martingale. Then use the HJB equation to bound $\mathcal{A}W(\pi_s)$ from below.

12.4. The function W is equal to V

a) Show that $\mathbb{E}[T^* + g(\pi_{T^*})] = W(\pi_0)$, where $T^* = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \pi_t \notin (A, B)\}$.

Hint. Show that equality holds in (4) with $T = T^*$.

b) Conclude that $V = W$ holds identically on $[0, 1]$ and that T^* is a minimizer of (1).



References

- [1] Goran Peskir and Albert Shiryaev. *Optimal stopping and free-boundary problems*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, 2006.