

# Stochastic Filtering (SS2016) Exercise Sheet 6

Lecture and Exercises: JProf. Dr. Philipp Harms Due date: June 8, 2016

# 6.1. Convergence of an Euler scheme for stochastic exponentials

Consider the stochastic exponential *Y* given by

$$dY_t = Y_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t), \qquad Y_0 = 1,$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are constants. The Euler approximation of this SDE is

 $\Delta \hat{Y}_{n+1} = \hat{Y}_n(\mu \Delta t + \sigma \Delta \hat{W}_n), \qquad \hat{Y}_0 = 1,$ 

where  $\Delta t$  is the step size,  $\Delta \hat{Y}_{n+1} = \hat{Y}_{n+1} - \hat{Y}_n$ , and  $\Delta \hat{W}_n = W_{(n+1)\Delta t} - W_{n\Delta t}$ .

a) Show that the local weak error is of second order, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{\Delta t \to 0} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(Y_{\Delta t})] - \mathbb{E}[f(\hat{Y}_{1})]}{\Delta t^{2}} \right| < \infty$$

holds for any bounded smooth function f with bounded derivatives.

Hint: Expand f(y) into a Taylor series around the point y = 1 and use Exercise 5.4 to calculate the distribution of  $Y_{\Delta t}$ .

b) The general theory tells us [3, Theorem 14.5.1] that the weak local errors aggregate to a weak global error of first order, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{\Delta t \to 0} \left| \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(Y_T)] - \mathbb{E}[f(\hat{Y}_{\lfloor T/\Delta t \rfloor})]}{\Delta t} \right| < \infty$$

holds for any bounded smooth function f with bounded derivatives and any  $T \ge 0$ . Verify this by numerically implementing the Euler-Maruyama scheme and checking the convergence rate. University of Freiburg



# 6.2. Predictable and optional projections

Read Section 4.1 in [2].

a) Let *X* be an integrable random variable, seen as a constant process, and let  $M_t$  be the càdlàg version of the martingale  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathscr{F}_t]$ . Show that the optional projection of *X* is *M* and the predictable projection of *X* is  $M_-$ .

Remark: This is the key argument for proving the existence of optional projections. Note that this argument requires the usual conditions of the filtration.

- b) What is the predictable projection of a deterministic and a Poisson process?
- c) Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a sub-filtration of  $\mathbb{F}$  and let *M* be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale. Show that the  $\mathbb{G}$ -optional projection of *M* is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingale.

#### 6.3. Increasing processes and projections

Read Section 4.2 in [2].

a) Let *H* be a bounded measurable raw process, i.e., we do not assume *H* to be adapted. Prove that the optional and predictable projection of the process  $\int_0^t H_s ds$  is the process  $\int_0^t {}^o H_s ds$ .

#### 6.4. Dual predictable and dual optional projections

Read Section 4.3 in [2] and in particular Definition 4.28.

- a) What is the dual predictable projection of a Poisson process?
- b) Show that the dual predictable projection of the process  $\int_0^t H_s ds$  from Exercise 6.3 is the process  $\int_0^t {}^o H_s ds$ .

University of Freiburg



# Remark

The boundedness, integrability, and monotonicity assumptions in [2, Section 4] can be weakened substantially. Increasing processes can be generalized to finite variation processes, integrability can be generalized to  $\sigma$ -integrability, and everything can be localized. A detailed treatment is provided in [1, Chapter V].

Please contact me if you can't download any of the given references.

# References

- [1] Sheng-wu He, Jia-gang Wang, and Jia-an Yan. *Semimartingale theory and stochastic calculus*. Taylor & Francis, 1992.
- [2] Ashkan Nikeghbali et al. "An essay on the general theory of stochastic processes". In: *Probability Surveys* 3 (2006), pp. 345–412.
- [3] Platen and Klöden. *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.